PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

CAUSIATION INTERNA	PATENT COOPE	ration tre ${f CT}$	ATY	PCT/EP2003/01
INTERNA	TIONAL PRELIMIN	_	ATION REP	ORT
	(PCT Article	36 and Rule 70)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000054205	FOR FURTHER AC			ansmittal of Internationa eport (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. PCT/EP2003/014582	International filing date 19 December 200	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) tber 2002 (23.12.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) of C23C 22/68, C07F 9/09, C07	or national classification and		1	<u> </u>
Applicant	BASF AKTIENGI	ESELLSCHAFT		
This international preliminary example and is transmitted to the applicant This REPORT consists of a total	nt according to Article 36.			nary Examining Authority
This report is also accom amended and are the basi 70.16 and Section 607 of	spanied by ANNEXES, i.e., so is for this report and/or sheet the Administrative Instruction for the atotal ofs	sheets of the description is containing rectifications under the PCT).	ion, claims and/o	
3. This report contains indications		ns:		
I Basis of the rep	ort			
II Priority Non-establishm	nent of opinion with regard to	novelty inventive s	eten and industris	al annlicability
In Carlo Continue		, novery, mvenuve s	nop and medoure	и аррисания
" "	ment under Article 35(2) wit splanations supporting such s	h regard to novelty, i	nventive step or	industrial applicability;
VI Certain docume	ents cited			
VII Certain defects	in the international applicati	on		
VIII Certain observa	ations on the international ap	plication		
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report	
07 May 2004 (07.	05.2004)	11	March 2005	(11.03.2005)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA	/EP	Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.		

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/014582

I. B	asis o	f the re	eport	
1. \	With r	egard to	the elements of the international application:*	
	\boxtimes	the inter	rmational application as originally filed	
	\times	the desc	cription:	
_		pages	1-19	, as originally filed
		pages	, , fi	led with the demand
		pages .	, filed with the letter of	
	\boxtimes	the clair	ims:	
"	الحــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	pages	1-29	, as originally filed
		pages	, as amended (together with any statem	ent under Article 19
		pages	, fi	iled with the demand
		pages	, filed with the letter of	
[the dray	wings:	
ļ '		pages		, as originally filed
1		pages	, f	
1		pages	, filed with the letter of	
۱ ا	п .,	ha canua	ence listing part of the description:	
'	ш"	pages		as originally filed
		pages	,1	iled with the demand
		pages	, filed with the letter of	
	the in These	the lan the lan the lan regard minary e contain filed to furnish furnish The s intern	nguage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). nguage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). nguage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (which is: under Rule 55.2 and/ on, the international
	Replin the	This rebeyond lacement his report 70.17).	the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have and the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** It sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Art ort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendment sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this rep	ticle 14 are referred to endments (Rule 70.16
	- Any	геріасеі	ment sneet containing such amenaments must be rejerred to under tiem 1 and annexed to this rep	<i>U.</i> ••

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/014582

п	III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
1	The o	questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be rially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international application.					
	\boxtimes	claims Nos					
	becaus	se:					
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos					
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nosare so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
		the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
		no international search report has been established for said claims Nos					
2.	A mean sequen	ningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid ce listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions: the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard. the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.					

International application No. PCT/EP 03/14582

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III.

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Reference is made to the fact that claims for inventions for which no international search has been conducted cannot be the subject of an international preliminary examination (PCT Rule 66.1(e)).

The current claims 1-15 relate to an inordinately large number of possible compounds, of which only a small proportion are supported by the description within the meaning of PCT Article 6 and/or can be regarded as having been disclosed in the application within the meaning of PCT Article 5. In the present case the claims lack the proper support and the application lacks the requisite disclosure to such an extent that it does not appear possible to carry out a meaningful search covering the entire range of protection sought. The search was therefore directed to the parts of the claim that appear to be supported and disclosed in the above sense, namely the parts relating to the compounds as indicated in the embodiments, including closely related homologous compounds. Consequently, only those compounds have been searched in which L is an amide function and X is a group selected from -PO(OH)2, -PO(OH)(OR), -OPO(OH)2 or -OPO(OH)(OR).

International application No. PCT/EP 03/14582

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	23, 24, 26-29	YES
	Claims	16-22, 25	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	23, 24	YES
	Claims	16-22, 25-29	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	16-29	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: US-A-4 202 706 (NEWELL RICHARD G ET AL) 13 May 1980 (1980-05-13)
- D2: WO 98/29580 A (METALLGESELLSCHAFT AG; JAEHNE EVELIN (DE); SEBRALLA LARS (DE); BRAM C) 9 July 1998 (1998-07-09)
- The present application does not satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 16-22 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).
- 1.1. Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses are to D1) the use of compounds of formula R_F-SO₂-N(R1)-R2-PO3M2 for the anticorrosion treatment of A1 (claims 1-4). Preferred compounds include 11-(N-ethylperfluorooctanesulfonamide) undecanphosphonic acid and 6-(N-methylperfluorobutanesulfonamido) hexanephosphonic acid (column 2, lines 37-40). The treatment agent contains one or more of the compounds and a solvent or solvent mixture (column 4, lines 36-49). Therefore, the subject matter of claims 16-22 and 25 is not novel.

- 1.2. Reference is made to the fact that claim 22 is not clear. The word "or" is apparently missing between "Z1" and "at least".
- 2. The present application does not satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 26-29 does not involve an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).
- 2.1. The preparation of compounds in which a metal is first treated with compounds with reactive end groups is a matter of conventional practice in the present field of activity (see e.g. D2, page 6, final paragraph to page 7, first paragraph). A pretreatment such as is claimed in claim 29 is known from document D1 (column 4, lines 34 and 35; example 9). Therefore, the subject matter of claims 26-29 does not involve an inventive step.
- 3. The features of dependent claims 23 and 24 do not emerge from the prior art in a manner that is obvious to a person skilled in the art. Therefore, the subject matter of claims 23 and 24 involves an inventive step.
- 4. The present invention is considered industrially applicable because it can be used in the field of surface treatment.